Branch Flow Model

relaxations, convexification

Masoud Farivar Steven Low

Computing + Math Sciences Electrical Engineering Caltech

May 2012



Collaborators

S. Bose, M. Chandy, L. Gan, D. Gayme, J. Lavaei, L. Li

BFM reference

Branch flow model: relaxations and convexification
 M. Farivar and S. H. Low
 arXiv:1204.4865v2, April 2012

Other references

- Zero duality gap in OPF problem
 J. Lavaei and S. H. Low
 IEEE Trans Power Systems, Feb 2012
- QCQP on acyclic graphs with application to power flow
 S. Bose, D. Gayme, S. H. Low and M. Chandy
 arXiv:1203.5599v1, March 2012



big picture



1 Proliferation renewables

- Driven by sustainability
- Enabled by policy and investment

Sustainability challenge



US CO₂ emission
Elect generation: 40%
Transportation: 20%





Wind power over land (exc. Antartica) 70 – 170 TW



Solar power over land 340 TW

<u>Worldwide</u>

energy demand: 16 TW

electricity demand: 2.2 TW

wind capacity (2009): 159 GW

grid-tied PV capacity (2009): 21 GW

Source: Renewable Energy Global Status Report, 2010 Source: M. Jacobson, 2011





Source: Rosa Yang, EPRI



1 Proliferation of renewables

- Driven by sustainability
- Enabled by policy and investment

2 Migration to distributed arch

- 2-3x generation efficiency
- Relief demand on grid capacity





	#nodes	capacity per node	total capacity	completion time	remarks
SCE	500	1 MW	500 MW	2015	SCE Commercial Rooftop Solar
СА	175,000	10 kW	1.75 GW	2016	CA Solar Initiative
SCE	400,000	2 kW	800 MW		10% penetration of SCE residential customers
CA	1,000,000	3 kW	3 GW	2017	CA Million Solar Roofs Initiative
CA			25 GW	2020	CA Renewable Portfolio Standard
US			3 TW	2035	Obama's goal for clean energy

DER: PVs, wind turbines, batteries, EVs, DR loads





DER: PVs, wind turbines, EVs, batteries, DR loads



Current control paradigm works well today

- Low uncertainty, few active assets to control
- Centralized, open-loop, human-in-loop, worst-case preventive
- Schedule supplies to match loads

Future needs

- Fast computation to cope with rapid, random, large fluctuations in supply, demand, voltage, freq
- Simple algorithms to scale to large networks of active DER
- Real-time data for adaptive control, e.g. real-time DR





Convex relaxations

Large scale

Distributed algorithms

Uncertainty

Risk-limiting approach



Foundation of LMP

- Convexity justifies the use of Lagrange multipliers as various prices
- Critical for efficient market theory

Efficient computation

Convexity delineates computational efficiency and intractability

A lot rides on (assumed) convexity structureengineering, economics, regulatory



optimal power flow motivations

Optimal power flow (OPF)

OPF is solved routinely to determine

- How much power to generate where
- Market operation & pricing
- Parameter setting, e.g. taps, VARs

Non-convex and hard to solve

- Huge literature since 1962
- Common practice: DC power flow (LP)



Problem formulation

Carpentier 1962

Computational techniques

- Dommel & Tinney 1968
- Surveys: Huneault et al 1991, Momoh et al 2001, Pandya et al 2008
- Bus injection model: SDP relaxation
 - Bai et al 2008, 2009, Lavaei et al 2010, 2012
 - Bose et al 2011, Zhang et al 2011, Sojoudi et al 2012
 - Lesieutre et al 2011

Branch flow model: SOCP relaxation

Baran & Wu 1989, Chiang & Baran 1990, Taylor 2011, Farivar et al 2011



Motivation

Static capacitor control cannot cope with rapid random fluctuations of PVs on distr circuits

Inverter control

- Much faster & more frequent
- IEEE 1547 does not optimize
 VAR currently (unity PF)







Load and Solar Variation



Distribution of System State (Solar vs Load) 0.9 0.8 0.2 0.1 0 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.1 0.8 0.9 Solar Output Level (%) **Empirical distribution**

of (load, solar) for Calabash

Summary

RESULTS FOR SOME VOLTAGE TOLERANCE THRESHOLDS

Voltage Drop	Annual Hours Saved Spending	Average Power
Tolerance(pu)	Outside Feasibility Region	Saving (%)
0.03	842.9	3.93%
0.04	160.7	3.67%
0.05	14.5	3.62%

- More reliable operation
- Energy savings



theory relaxations and convexification



Branch flow model and OPF

Solution strategy: two relaxations

- Angle relaxation
- SOCP relaxation

Convexification for mesh networks

Extensions















Equivalent models of Kirchhoff laws

- Bus injection model focuses on nodal vars
- Branch flow model focuses on branch vars





- 1. What is the model?
- 2. What is OPF in the model?
- **3.** What is the solution strategy?



let's start with something familiar



$$\begin{split} \widetilde{S}_{j} &= V_{j} \widetilde{I}_{j}^{*} & \text{for all } j & \text{power definition} \\ \widetilde{I} &= YV & \text{Kirchhoff law} \\ \widetilde{S}_{j} &= -s_{j} & \text{for all } j & \text{power balance} \end{split}$$

admittance matrix:

$$Y_{ij} := \begin{cases} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \text{if } i = j \\ \hat{j} & k \sim i \\ \hat{j} & -y_{ij} & \text{if } i \sim j \\ \hat{j} & 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$





$$\begin{split} & \tilde{S}_{j} = V_{j} \tilde{I}_{j}^{*} & \text{for all } j & \text{power definition} \\ & \tilde{I} = YV & \text{Kirchhoff law} \\ & \tilde{S}_{j} = -s_{j} & \text{for all } j & \text{power balance} \end{split}$$

variables
$$\tilde{x} := (\tilde{S}, \tilde{I}, V, s), \quad s := s^c - s^g$$



subject to

e.g. quadratic gen cost



min
$$\underset{j}{\overset{\circ}{a}} f_{j}\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(\tilde{S}_{j}\right)\right)$$
e.g. quadratic gen costover $\tilde{x} := \left(\tilde{S}, \tilde{I}, V, s\right)$ subject to \underline{s}_{j} \underline{F} \underline{s}_{j} \underline{F} \overline{s}_{j} \underline{V}_{k} \underline{F} $|V_{k}|$ \underline{V}_{k} \underline{F}



nonconvex, NP-hard



- min tr $C_0 W$
- over W matrices
- s.t. tr $C_k W \in b_k$

 W^30



convex relaxation: SDP polynomial





OPF = rank constrained SDP

Sufficient conditions for SDP to be exact

- Whether a solution is globally optimal is always easily checkable
- Mesh: must solve SDP to check
- Tree: depends only on constraint pattern or r/x ratios





- 1. What is the model?
- 2. What is OPF in the model?
- **3.** What is the solution strategy?



$$S_{ij} = V_i I_{ij}^*$$
 for all $i \to j$ power def

$$V_i - V_j = z_{ij} I_{ij}$$
 for all $i \rightarrow j$ Ohm's law




$$S_{ij} = V_i I_{ij}^*$$
 for all $i \to j$ power def

$$V_i - V_j = z_{ij} I_{ij}$$
 for all $i \rightarrow j$ Ohm's law

$$\sum_{i \to j} \left(S_{ij} - Z_{ij} \left| I_{ij} \right|^2 \right) - \sum_{j \to k} S_{jk} = S_j \quad \text{for all } j \text{ power balance}$$

variables
$$x := (S, I, V, s), \quad s := s^c - s^g$$



$$S_{ij} = V_i I_{ij}^* \quad \text{for all } i \to j \quad \text{power def}$$
$$V_i - V_j = z_{ij} I_{ij} \quad \text{for all } i \to j \quad \text{Ohm's law}$$
$$\sum_{i \to j} \left(S_{ij} - z_{ij} \left| I_{ij} \right|^2 \right) - \sum_{j \to k} S_{jk} = s_j \quad \text{for all } j \quad \text{power balance}$$

variables
$$x := (S, I, V, s), \quad s := s^c - s^g$$

projection $\hat{y} := h(x) := (S, \ell, v, s)$



min $\operatorname{ar}_{ij} |I_{ij}|^2 + \operatorname{ar}_{ij} |V_i|^2$ *i∼j* i **CVR** (conservation real power loss

voltage reduction)



min
$$f(h(x))$$

over $x := (S, I, V, s^g, s^c)$

s. t.



min
$$f(h(x))$$

over
$$x := (S, I, V, s^g, s^c)$$

s. t.
$$\underline{s}_i^g \, \mathrm{fl} \, s_i^g \, \mathrm{fl} \, \overline{s}_i^g \qquad \underline{s}_i \, \mathrm{fl} \, s_i^c \qquad \underline{v}_i \, \mathrm{fl} \, \overline{v}_i$$



$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & f\left(h(x)\right) \\ \text{over} & x := (S, I, V, s^g, s^c) \\ \text{s. t.} & \underline{S_i^g \ f \ S_i^g \ f \ \overline{S_i^g}} & \underline{S_i \ f \ S_i^c} & \underline{V_i \ f \ V_i \ f \ \overline{V_i}} \\ & \text{generation,} \\ \text{VAR control} \\ \end{array}$$



$$\begin{array}{ccc} \min & f\left(h(x)\right) \\ \text{over} & x := (S, I, V, s^g, s^c) \\ \text{s. t.} & \underline{s}_i^g \notin s_i^g \notin \overline{s}_i^g & \underline{s}_i \notin s_i^c & \underline{v}_i \notin v_i \notin \overline{v}_i \\ & & \text{demand} \\ \text{response} \\ \end{array}$$



Branch flow model and OPF

Solution strategy: two relaxations

- Angle relaxation
- SOCP relaxation

Convexification for mesh networks

Extensions









branch flow model

$$\sum_{i \to j} \left(S_{ij} - Z_{ij} \left| I_{ij} \right|^2 \right) - \sum_{j \to k} S_{jk} = S_j^c - S_j^g$$
$$V_j = V_i - Z_{ij} I_{ij} \qquad S_{ij} = V_i I_{ij}^*$$







 $\left(S, I, V, S\right) \qquad \sum_{i \to j} \left(S_{ij} - Z_{ij} \left|I_{ij}\right|^{2}\right) - \sum_{j \to k} S_{jk} = S_{j}^{c} - S_{j}^{g}$ $V_{i} = V_{i} - Z_{ij}I_{ij} \qquad S_{ij} = V_{i}I_{ij}^{*}$ $|V_i|^2 = |V_j|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re}(z_{ij}^*S_{ij}) - |z_{ij}|^2 |I_{ij}|^2$ $\left(S, \ell, \nu, S\right) \quad \left|I_{ij}\right|^{2} = \frac{\left|S_{ij}\right|^{2}}{\left|V\right|^{2}}$

 $\ell_{ij} := \left| I_{ij} \right|^2$ $\nu_i := \left| V \right|^2$



relaxed branch flow solutions: (S, ℓ, v, s) satisfy

$$\sum_{i \to j} \left(S_{ij} - z_{ij} \ell_{ij} \right) - \sum_{j \to k} S_{jk} = S_j^c - S_j^g$$
$$v_i = v_j + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(z_{ij}^* S_{ij} \right) - \left| z_{ij} \right|^2 \ell_{ij}$$
$$\ell_{ij} = \frac{\left| S_{ij} \right|^2}{v_i}$$

Baran and Wu 1989 for radial networks



$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & f\left(h(x)\right) \\ \text{over} & x := (S, I, V, s^g, s^c) \\ \text{s. t.} & \underline{s}_i^g \in s_i^g \in \overline{s}_i^g & \underline{s}_i \in s_i^c & \underline{v}_i \in v_i \in \overline{v}_i \end{array}$$

branch flow model

$$\sum_{i \to j} \left(S_{ij} - z_{ij} \left| I_{ij} \right|^2 \right) - \sum_{j \to k} S_{jk} = S_j^c - S_j^g$$
$$V_j = V_i - z_{ij} I_{ij} \qquad S_{ij} = V_i I_{ij}^*$$



$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & f(h(x)) \\ \text{over} & x := (S, I, V, s^g, s^c) \\ \text{s. t.} & \underline{s}_i^g \in s_i^g \in \overline{s}_i^g & \underline{s}_i \in s_i^c & \underline{v}_i \in v_i \in \overline{v}_i \end{array}$$







$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & f\left(\hat{y}\right) \\ \text{over} & \hat{y} \coloneqq (S, \ell, v, s^g, s^c) \\ \text{s. t.} & \underline{s}_i^g \notin s_i^g \notin \overline{s}_i^g & \underline{s}_i \notin s_i^c & \underline{v}_i \notin v_i \notin \overline{v}_i \end{array}$$

$$\hat{y} := h(x) \hat{\mathbf{I}} \hat{\mathbf{Y}}$$

relax each voltage/current from a point in complex plane into a circle





$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & f\left(\hat{y}\right) \\ \text{over} & \hat{y} \coloneqq (S, \ell, v, s^g, s^c) \\ \text{s. t.} & \underline{s}_i^g \triangleq s_i^g \triangleq \overline{s}_i^g & \underline{s}_i \triangleq s_i^c & \underline{v}_i \triangleq v_i \triangleq \overline{v}_i \\ & \sum_{i \to j} \left(S_{ij} - z_{ij}\ell_{ij}\right) - \sum_{j \to k} S_{jk} = s_j^c - s_j^g \\ & v_i = v_j + 2 \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{ij}^*S_{ij}\right) - \left|z_{ij}\right|^2 \ell_{ij} \\ & \text{source of} \\ \operatorname{nonconvexity} & \ell_{ij} = \frac{\left|S_{ij}\right|^2}{v_i} & \text{econvex objective} \\ & \text{linear constraints} \\ & \text{- quadratic equality} \end{array}$$

• quadratic equality



min $f(\hat{y})$ over $\hat{y} := (S, \ell, v, s^g, s^c)$ s. t. $\underline{s}_{i}^{g} \in \underline{s}_{i}^{g} \in \overline{s}_{i}^{g}$ $\underline{s}_{i} \in \underline{s}_{i}^{c}$ $\underline{v}_i \in v_i \in \overline{v}_i$ $\sum \left(S_{ij} - Z_{ij} \ell_{ij} \right) - \sum S_{jk} = S_j^c - S_j^g$ $i \rightarrow i$ $i \rightarrow k$ $v_i = v_j + 2 \operatorname{Re}(z_{ij}^* S_{ij}) - |z_{ij}|^2 \ell_{ij}$ ℓ_{ij} 3 $|S_{ij}|^2$ → inequality



min $f(\hat{y})$ over $\hat{y} := (S, \ell, v, s^g, s^c)$ s. t. $\underline{s}_{i}^{g} \in \underline{s}_{i}^{g} \in \overline{s}_{i}^{g}$ $\underline{v}_i \neq v_i \neq \overline{v}_i$ $\underline{S}_i \neq S_i^c$



relax to convex hull (SOCP)









OPF-cr is convex SOCP when objective is linear $f(h(x)) := \mathop{\text{a}}_{i \sim j} r_{ij} l_{ij} + \mathop{\text{a}}_{i} \partial_i v_i$

SOCP much simpler than SDP

OPF-cr is exact

- optimal of OPF-cr is also optimal for OPF-ar
- for mesh as well as radial networks
- real & reactive powers, but volt/current mags





OPF-ar

does there exist q s.t. $h_q^{-1}(\hat{y}) \hat{i} \mathbf{X}$?



solution x to OPF recoverable from \hat{y} iff inverse projection exist iff p_{q} s.t.

incidence matrix; depends on topology depends on OPF-ar solution

Two simple angle recovery algorithms

 $BQ = b(\hat{y})$

- centralized: explicit formula
- decentralized: recursive alg



For radial network: \$!q $Bq = b(\hat{y})$







#buses - 1

#lines in T
$$\acute{\Theta}B_T$$
 \grave{U} $\acute{\Theta}D_T$ \grave{U}
 \grave{Q}^T $\grave{U}Q = \grave{Q}^T$ \grave{U}
#lines outside T $\grave{Q}B_\wedge$ \grave{U} $\grave{U}Q = \grave{Q}D_\wedge$ \grave{U}

Theorem

Inverse projection exist iff $B_{\wedge}(B_T^{-1}b_T) = b_{\wedge}$

Unique inverse given by $q^* = B_T^{-1} b_T$

For radial network: $B_{\wedge} = b_{\wedge} = 0$











Branch flow model and OPF

Solution strategy: two relaxations

- Angle relaxation
- SOCP relaxation

Convexification for mesh networks

Extensions











ideal phase shifter

Convexification of mesh networks

PF
$$\min_{x} f(h(x))$$
 s.t. $x \hat{I} X$

OPF-ar
$$\min_{x} f(h(x))$$
 s.t. $x \mid \mathbf{Y}$

OPF-ps
$$\min_{x,f} f(h(x))$$
 s.t. $x \hat{I} \overline{X}$

optimize over phase shifters as well

<u>Theorem</u>

 \bigcap

- $\overline{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{Y}$
- Need phase shifters only outside spanning tree





 $\begin{array}{cccc} \acute{e}B_T \grave{u} & \acute{e}b_T \grave{u} & \acute{e}O & \grave{u} \\ \acute{e}B_{\Lambda} \grave{u} & \acute{e}b_{\Lambda} \grave{u} & \acute{e}f_{\Lambda} \grave{u} \\ \acute{e}B_{\Lambda} \grave{u} & \acute{e}b_{\Lambda} \grave{u} & \acute{e}f_{\Lambda} \grave{u} \end{array}$

Inverse projection always exists

Unique inverse given by $q^* = B_T^{-1} b_T$

Don't need PS in spanning tree $f^*_{\wedge} = 0$







		No PS	With PS
Test cases	# links	Min loss	Min loss
	(<i>m</i>)	(OPF, MW)	(OPF-cr, MW)
IEEE 14-Bus	20	0.546	0.545
IEEE 30-Bus	41	1.372	1.239
IEEE 57-Bus	80	11.302	10.910
IEEE 118-Bus	186	9.232	8.728
IEEE 300-Bus	411	211.871	197.387
New England 39-Bus	46	29.915	28.901
Polish (case2383wp)	2,896	433.019	385.894
Polish (case2737sop)	3,506	130.145	109.905



Test cases	# links	# active PS		Angle range (°)
	(<i>m</i>)	$ \phi_i > 0.1^\circ$		$[\phi_{\min},\phi_{\max}]$
IEEE 14-Bus	20	2	(10%)	[-2.1, 0.1]
IEEE 30-Bus	41	3	(7%)	[-0.2, 4.5]
IEEE 57-Bus	80	19	(24%)	[-3.5, 3.2]
IEEE 118-Bus	186	36	(19%)	[-1.9, 2.0]
IEEE 300-Bus	411	101	(25%)	[-11.9, 9.4]
New England 39-Bus	46	7	(15%)	[-0.2, 2.2]
Polish (case2383wp)	2,896	376	(13%)	[-20.1, 16.8]
Polish (case2737sop)	3,506	433	(12%)	$\begin{bmatrix} -21.9, \ 21.7 \end{bmatrix}$



Radial networks computationally simple

- Exploit tree graph & convex relaxation
- Real-time scalable control promising

Mesh networks can be convexified

- Design for simplicity
- Need few (?) phase shifters (sparse topology)


Branch flow model and OPF

Solution strategy: two relaxations

- Angle relaxation
- SOCP relaxation

Convexification for mesh networks

Extensions





$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}} := \left\{ \tilde{x} = \left(\tilde{S}, \tilde{I}, V \right) | \text{BI model} \right\}$$

•

 $\mathbf{X} := \left\{ x = \left(S, I, V \right) \middle| \mathsf{BF} \mathsf{ model} \right\}$



Theorem

BI and BF model are equivalent (there is a bijection between \widetilde{X} and X)

Work in progress with Subhonmesh Bose, Mani Chandy





Theorem: radial networks

- $\Box \quad \tilde{y} \text{ in SOCP} \qquad \iff W \text{ in SDR}$
- $\square \tilde{y}$ satisfies angle cond $\iff W$ has rank 1

Work in progress with Subhonmesh Bose, Mani Chandy



$i \qquad P_{ii},$	Q_{ii}, ℓ_{ii}	
5	v_j	
	local load,	
	generation	
	local Lagrange multipliers	
	highly parallelizable !	

Local algorithm at bus j

- update local variables based on Lagrange multipliers from children
- send Lagrange multipliers to parents

Work in progress with Lina Li, Lingwen Gan, Caltech

Extension: distributed solution



<u>Theorem</u>

Distributed algorithm converges

- to global optimal for radial networks
- to global optimal for convexified mesh networks
- to approximate/optimal for general mesh networks

Work in progress with Lina Li, Lingwen Gan, Caltech